Grant Report

Characterization of Comorbid Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Major Depressive Disorder Using Ketamine as an Experimental Medicine Probe[†]

C. Sophia Albott ^{1,2,*}, Sey Lee ^{1,2}, Kathryn R. Cullen ¹, Paul Thuras ^{1,2}, Shmuel Lissek ³, Joseph Wels ², Katrina J. Friedrich ^{1,2}, Alyssa M. Krueger ^{1,2}, Kelvin O. Lim ^{1,2}

- ¹ Department of Psychiatry & Behavioral Sciences, University of Minnesota Medical School, Minneapolis, MN 55454, USA
- ² Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Minneapolis, MN 55417, USA
- ³ Department of Psychology, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, USA
- This research was funded by National Institute of Mental Health
 (K23MH117219), the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences
 (KL2TR002492), and the National Institute of Drug Abuse (T32DA037183).
- * Correspondence: C. Sophia Albott, Email: albot002@umn.edu; Tel.: +1-612-273-9041.

ABSTRACT

Comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder (PTSD + MDD) is the most common pathological response to trauma, yet despite their synergistic detriment to health, knowledge regarding the neurobiological mechanism underlying PTSD + MDD is extremely limited. This study proposes a novel model of PTSD + MDD that is built on biological systems shown to underlay PTSD + MDD and takes advantage of ketamine's unique suitability to probe PTSD + MDD due to its rescue of stress-related neuroplasticity deficits. The <u>central hypothesis</u> is that changes in PTSD + MDD clinical symptoms are associated with functional connectivity changes and cognitive dysfunction and that ketamine infusions improve clinical symptoms by correction of functional connectivity changes and improvement in cognition. Participants with PTSD + MDD (n = 42) will be randomized to receive a series of six ketamine infusions or saline-placebo over three weeks. Pre/post-measures will include: (1) neuroimaging; (2) cognitive functioning task performance; and (3) PTSD, MDD, and rumination self-report measures. These measures will also be collected once in a trauma-exposed group including PTSD-only (n = 10), trauma-exposed-MDD (TE-MDD; n = 10), and healthy controls (HC, n = 21). Successful completion of the study will strongly support the concept of a biologically-based model of PTSD + MDD. The results will (1) identify functional imaging signatures of the mechanisms underpinning pathological responses to trauma, (2) shift focus from mono-diagnostic silos to unified biological and behavioral disease processes and, thus, (3)

G Open Access

Received: 25 May 2021 Accepted: 25 June 2021 Published: 29 June 2021

Copyright © 2021 by the author(s). Licensee Hapres, London, United Kingdom. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of <u>Creative Commons Attribution</u> <u>4.0 International License</u>. inform interventions to correct dysregulation of PTSD + MDD symptom clusters thereby supporting more precise treatments and better outcomes.

Trial Registration: Clinicaltrials.gov NCT04032301.

KEYWORDS: ketamine; stress disorders; stress biomarkers; trauma mechanism; post-traumatic stress disorders; treatment-resistant depressive disorder; magnetic resonance imaging; functional connectivity; neuroplasticity; pharmacologic actions

ABBREVIATIONS

PTSD, post-traumatic stress disorder; MDD, major depressive disorder; PTSD + MDD, comorbid post-traumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder; TE-MDD, trauma-exposed major depressive disorder; HC, healthy control; PFC, prefrontal cortex; vmPFC, ventromedial prefrontal cortex; dlPFC, dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; rTMS, repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation; TRD, treatment resistant depression; FC, functional connectivity; MADRS, Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; PCL-5, PTSD Checklist for DSM-5; MVAMC, Minneapolis Veterans Affairs Medical Center; DSM-5, Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition; CAPS-5, Clinician Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5; MINI, Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview; MMSE, Mini-Mental Status Exam; PSS-I-5, PTSD Symptom Scale-Interview for DSM-5; RRS, Ruminative Response Scale; IDAS, Inventory of Depression and Anxiety; NRS, Numeric pain Rating Scale; C-SSRS, Columbia-Suicide Severity Rating Scale; CGI, Clinical Global Impression Scale; QIDS-SR16, Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology Self Report; PROMIS, Patient Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System—Sleep; IPAQ-short, International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form; GAD-7, Generalized Anxiety Disorder-7; CMRR, Center for Magnetic Resonance Research; RF, radiofrequency; TR, repetition time; MB, multiband; EPI, echo-planar imaging; DWI, Diffusion Weighted Imaging; BPRS+, positive symptom subscale of the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale; CADSS, Clinician-Administered Dissociative States Scale; YMRS, Young Mania Rating Scale; mAldrete, modified Aldrete; MANCOVA, multivariate analysis of covariance; NIMH, National Institute of Mental Health

INTRODUCTION

Comorbid PTSD and MDD Is the Most Common Pathological Response to Trauma and Is Associated with Poor Clinical Outcomes

Comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) and major depressive disorder (MDD) represents a major public health burden as it is associated with poor clinical outcomes and substantial human disability. Lifetime PTSD rates in the general population range between 7% and 12% [1] while rates among combat exposed veterans are 19% to 30% [2]. Most individuals developing PTSD have comorbid psychiatric diagnoses. For example, among National Guard combat veterans diagnosed with PTSD, 85% meet criteria for at least one additional diagnosis with 70% meeting criteria for MDD [3]. Thus, the most common pathological response to trauma is comorbid PTSD and MDD (PTSD + MDD) [4–6]. PTSD + MDD is associated with greater clinical severity compared to either disorder alone [7,8]. Individuals with PTSD + MDD have increased suicide risk [9–11], worse functional impairment and lower global functioning [12–14], more severe symptoms of PTSD and depression [8,15–17], and increased health-care utilization [18,19]. Further, the presence of either disorder diminishes treatment efficacy while increasing chronicity for the other [11,12,20,21].

Multiple theoretical models indicate PTSD + MDD is a coherent subtype of pathological responses to trauma. Whereas responses to trauma are heterogeneous [22,23], the high rates of PTSD + MDD comorbidity suggest an underlying shared deficit. Theoretical models explain the high rates of co-occurrence by additive symptom severity [13], associative learning dysfunction [24], and latent variable constructs [25]. The high rates of comorbidity that persist even after controlling for symptom overlap [26,27] suggest a primary neurobiological mechanism. There is debate regarding whether a primary neurobiological deficit predisposes one to develop PTSD + MDD [28] following trauma exposure or whether the cumulative effects of these disorders converge on a unitary mechanism [13]. The insufficient knowledge of this specific primary neurobiological mechanism underlying PTSD + MDD and how this mechanism may be modulated represents a knowledge gap. Without a clear understanding of this mechanism treatment outcomes for this common clinical presentation will remain poor.

Poor Clinical Outcomes Associated with Comorbid PTSD and MDD Calls for a Better Understanding of Its Underlying Mechanism

Our proposal suggests a "vicious cycle" model of PTSD + MDD that incorporates dysfunctional glutamatergic signaling, functional dysconnectivity, cognitive deficits, and behavioral symptoms to perpetuate a state of chronic stress (see Figure 1). This model unifies a diverse literature and highlights the biological mechanisms underlying this common but complex clinical presentation. Characterization of the processes described by this model will inform the development of interventions to correct the underlying pathophysiology thereby supporting more precise treatments and better outcomes.



Figure 1. Schematic of this proposal's experimental model assessing pathophysiological mechanisms underlying PTSD + MDD. Adapted from [29].

Conceptualization of PTSD + MDD

Preclinical models of chronic stress have implicated glutamatergic signaling dysfunction as key factors in the pathophysiology of PTSD and depression (see Figure 1D) [29–37]. This literature associates chronic stress with neuronal atrophy and decreased numbers of synapses in key corticolimbic brain circuits [38–40]. Neuronal atrophy and loss of glutamatergic synaptic connections catalyzed by stress have also been implicated in cognitive deficits associated with stress and depression [41]. Specifically, trauma-induced alterations of glutamatergic signaling combined with dysregulation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis are hypothesized to lead to decreased excitatory glutamatergic tone in the PFC (prefrontal cortex) [30,42] and hippocampus [30,37]. Moreover, hippocampal structural deficits in veterans with PTSD are associated with reduced global functional connectivity, PTSD and depression symptoms, and impaired memory [43,44].

Evidence suggests that PTSD + MDD is characterized by dysfunctional connectivity between the prefrontal cortex (PFC), hippocampus and amygdala (see Figure 1C). Neuroimaging research on PTSD + MDD has focused primarily on the corticolimbic structures of the amygdala, hippocampus, and PFC. The ventromedial PFC (vmPFC) and the amygdala are thought to form a functional network regulating emotional responses [45]. While the amygdala responds automatically to emotionally salient stimuli, the vmPFC inhibits this response in a context-dependent manner [45,46]. The vmPFC, thus, integrates internal and external representations of context, memory, emotion, and in so doing regulates behavioral and physiological responses [46,47]. In PTSD + MDD, reduced vmPFC activity reflects decreased control of amygdala responses to threat thereby

resulting in a state of hypervigilance [45,46]. The dorsolateral PFC (dlPFC) exerts downstream control of the amygdala via effects on the vmPFC through cognitive emotion regulation.[48] Accordingly, the inability of the vmPFC to inhibit the amygdala in PTSD + MDD may be a down-stream effect of inefficient cognitive control by the dlPFC.

Converging evidence suggests lateralized dysfunction between the right and left dlPFC and the right and left vmPFC may occur in PTSD + MDD [49] (see Figure 2). A positron emission tomography (PET) study found that PTSD patients had decreased activation of left dlPFC compared to controls in a working memory task [50]. This finding was supported by evidence that the left dIPFC is affected by emotional distraction and may mediate impairments in cognitive function seen in PTSD + MDD (i.e., working memory updating) [51]. Furthermore, decreasing right dlPFC excitability with repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (rTMS) has been shown to be an effective therapy for depression [52] and to augment exposure therapy for PTSD [53]. The right vmPFC is implicated in processing emotional autobiographical memory, contextual integration, and control of autonomic nervous system responses to stress. Thus, elevated activity in the right vmPFC may render individuals more vulnerable to the negative effects of trauma, lead to impaired contextual integration of trauma memories, and undermine resilience. The hippocampus also plays a key role in the integration of contextual information during emotional memory encoding and retrieval. Aberrant connections between the left dlPFC, right vmPFC and hippocampus are thought to be involved with incomplete regulation of threat-related cues and heightened stress responsivity in individuals with PTSD+MDD. Taken together, it appears that frontal asymmetry in PTSD + MDD accounts for the inability of the PFC and hippocampus to effectively regulate the amygdala in a memoryguided and context-dependent manner.



Figure 2. Schematic of the proposed lateralized functional dysconnectivity underlying PTSD + MDD (Adapted from [49]).

Functional Connectivity Deficits in PTSD + MDD Underlay Cognitive Deficits and Implicate Rumination as a Behavioral Mechanism Perpetuating PTSD + MDD Symptoms

Individuals with PTSD + MDD have greater neurocognitive impairment (e.g., verbal memory, attention) than individuals with PTSD or MDD alone [54–56] (see Figure 1B). It is proposed that neurocognitive deficits reflect downregulated functioning of the prefrontal cortex catalyzed by over activation of the amygdala. Cognitive resources in individuals with PTSD + MDD may be over-allocated to networks involved with emotional processing (i.e., the amygdala and prefrontal cortex) and under-allocated to cognitive control networks (i.e., the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex). This functional connectivity imbalance may lead to diminished neurocognitive integrity, specifically in information processing speed and working memory [57].

Consistent with the above-described alterations in functional connectivity, dysfunctional glutamatergic signaling has been associated with the cognitive deficits observed in PTSD + MDD [29]. Cognitive functioning deficits have generally been considered secondary sequelae of a primary psychiatric diagnosis. However, recent neurocognitive evidence suggests diminished cognitive control over information held in working memory may serve as a premorbid vulnerability factor in the development of these disorders [28]. Deficits in cognitive control provide a direct link to the proliferation of negative information (i.e., trauma memories, depressive cognitions) in working memory observed in rumination (the uncontrolled rehearsal of trauma-memories without extinction) which occurs in both PTSD and MDD. The centrality of cognitive control deficits to PTSD + MDD is supported by evidence that individuals with PTSD + MDD demonstrate greater neurocognitive performance impairment compared to individuals with either diagnosis alone [54-56].

Neuroanatomical and cognitive functioning deficits as observed in PTSD + MDD implicate rumination as a transdiagnostic vulnerability factor (see Figure 1A). Rumination is a maladaptive cognitive response style that maintains symptoms of depression [58–60] and predicts persistence of PTSD symptoms [61]. However, only two studies to date have examined the role rumination plays in PTSD + MDD. Roley et al. demonstrated that two rumination subtypes moderate the relationship between PTSD and MDD symptoms [62]. Birrer and Michael found heightened rumination in PTSD + MDD to also be a trigger for PTSD intrusion symptoms [63]. They concluded that rumination is a behavioral mechanism relevant for dysfunctional cognitive processes resulting from trauma and predisposing an individual to pathological reactions to trauma [63].

Evidence suggests dysfunctional connectivity in critical cognitive and emotional brain networks is related to maladaptive neuroplasticity insofar as it (maladaptive neuroplasticity) underlies a state of chronic stress associated with PTSD + MDD [64]. Preclinical models have shown that chronic stress alters neuroplasticity with reduced synaptic function in the hippocampus and PFC [62,65]. Clinical research also shows neuroplasticity dysfunction with altered functional connectivity (FC) in corticolimbic regions (i.e., PFC and hippocampus) associated with PTSD + MDD symptoms [43] as well as impaired learning (i.e., cognitive dysfunction) [4,5].

The Case for Ketamine as a Probe to Characterize PTSD + MDD

The commonalities in biological systems characterizing PTSD + MDD support the use of similar classes of agents in therapeutics. Antidepressants, such as the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), are first-line pharmacological interventions for PTSD and MDD [66,67] but ineffective in a substantial proportion of individuals with either disorder [68,69]. Recognition of the inefficacy of standard antidepressant medications for MDD or PTSD has led to growing interest in the rapid antidepressant effects of ketamine—an *N*-methyl-D-aspartate (NMDA) type glutamate receptor antagonist [70–73].

Ketamine's mechanism of action and clinical effects as a dissociative anesthetic and as an antidepressant occur at two different time scales. Acute administration of ketamine induces symptoms of dissociation, psychotomimetic experiences, and cognitive dysfunction. These acute effects are associated with direct administration of ketamine, hypothesized to be mediated via NMDA receptor antagonism, and have been shown to resolve rapidly (within 1 h) following cessation of ketamine [74]. However, ketamine administration has also been shown to trigger downstream signaling cascades that increase the expression of brain derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) [75]. The expression of neurotrophic factors is thought to play a key role in maintaining optimal neuronal functioning including the protection and survival of neurons as well as for the induction of synaptic plasticity. In this sense, the acute dissociative effects of ketamine are temporally dissociated from the plasticity promoting effect of ketamine. This temporal dissociation is further reflected by the fact that ketamine's peak antidepressant effect occurs 24 h after infusion cessation (Berman) with clinical effects persisting for 7 to 10 days following a single infusion [70,71,76].

Animal models of chronic stress demonstrate that ketamine rescues stress-related neuroplasticity deficits by increasing synaptic number and function in the rodent homologue of the prefrontal cortex [75,77–79]. Consistent with the animal model data, multiple mono-diagnostic studies have shown ketamine to be rapidly effective for ameliorating symptoms of MDD or PTSD [73,80]. Open label case series have also demonstrated that repeated (vs single) infusions are more clinically effective and enduring in individuals with treatment resistant depression (TRD) [81,82]. Moreoever, the reversal of stress-related plasticity deficits is reflected not only in amelioration of clinical symptoms but also in improvements in cognitive functioning, possibly reflecting restoration of synaptic function in key regions of networks subserving cognitive processing (such as the dlPFC). Thus, repeated ketamine infusions are uniquely suited to probe a model of PTSD + MDD.

In support of the ketamine as probe characterizing our model of PTSD_MDD, we presented the first evidence that repeated ketamine infusions are effective for the rapid reduction of both PTSD and MDD symptoms in individuals with PTSD + MDD [83]. In this pivotal study, we demonstrated that six ketamine infusions in participants with PTSD + MDD results in significant improvement of both PTSD + MDD symptoms [83] and cognitive function [84]. Furthermore, our data suggested serial ketamine infusions to be safe and well tolerated; there were no worsening of dissociative, psychotomimetic, or mania symptoms during the treatment and follow-up periods. Despite classification of ketamine as a dissociative anesthetic agent, no worsening in dissociative symptoms was observed in any individual over the 8-week follow-up period.

Our secondary data analyses support the proposed model of PTSD + MDD, with covariation of PTSD and depression symptoms, cognitive dysfunction, and rumination. Our data (described below) provide face validity for a model relating functional dysconnectivity, rumination, and cognitive dysfunction with symptoms of PTSD and MDD. The model/conceptualization is further supported by the correction of these systems through repeated ketamine infusions. Our findings strongly dictate the need and utility of concurrently evaluating interrelated neurocircuitry, clinical phenomena (symptoms, rumination), and cognitive functioning using repeated ketamine infusions as a highly effective experimental medicine probe.

The foregoing background supports the scientific premise of a model connecting clinical symptoms observed in PTSD + MDD with cognitive dysfunction and altered functional connectivity as underpinning the dysfunction observed in this comorbidity. Our hypothesis—that clinical symptoms are associated with dysfunctional neurocircuitry and cognitive impairment in PTSD + MDD and that ketamine infusions improve clinical symptoms by correcting brain circuit dysfunction and poor cognition—provides a coherent model of a complex clinical presentation. The proposed research provides a strategy to characterize pathological responses to trauma and will permit determination of the validity of our hypotheses.

Summary of Specific Aims

Specific Aim 1: In PTSD + MDD, to examine how baseline clinical presentation, cognitive function and neurocircuitry predicts clinical response to ketamine infusions. We predict better clinical response (i.e., greater improvement of PTSD and depression symptoms as measured by the primary outcomes scales) will be associated with (**Hypothesis 1.1**) worse clinical symptoms (i.e., elevated total scores of rumination as measured by the Ruminative Response Scale, elevated total PTSD symptoms as measured by the Clinician Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-

5, elevated total scores of depression as measured by the Mongomery-Asberg Depression Rating Scale), (**Hypothesis 1.2**) worse cognition and (**Hypothesis 1.3**) greater dysfunction in neural circuits.

Specific Aim 2: In PTSD + MDD, examine the association of changes in corticolimbic circuitry with changes in clinical symptoms and cognition following either ketamine or saline infusions. We predict normalization of corticolimbic connectivity will be associated with (**Hypothesis 2.1**) improved clinical symptoms and (**Hypothesis 2.2**) improved cognition.

Specific Aim 3: Examine cognition, rumination and neurocircuitry in trauma-exposed but diagnostically diverse groups (PTSD + MDD, PTSD-only, and trauma-exposed-MDD), and health controls. Compared with HC, PTSD + MDD will have (**Hypothesis 3.1**) impaired cognition, (**Hypothesis 3.2**) greater rumination and (**Hypothesis 3.3**) brain circuit dysfunction. An exploratory hypothesis is that TE-MDD and PTSD-only will be intermediate between PTSD + MDD and HC on these measures.

INNOVATION

The innovation aspects of this project include: (1) method: the use of ketamine as an experimental medicine probe to characterize biological substrates underlying a coherent model of PTSD + MDD; (2) design: the application of pre- and post-treatment neuroimaging assessments to identify biomarkers predicting response to an empirically validated treatment for PTSD + MDD; and (3) concept: proposing a novel model of PTSD + MDD that is built on neuroanatomical and executive functioning systems implicated in the pathophysiology of PTSD + MDD. The proposed research is significant because it proposes a coherent model of PTSD + MDD that has the potential to advance our understanding of a homogeneous subgroup of individuals with pathological responses to trauma.

APPROACH

Preliminary Data: Improvement in PTSD + MDD Symptoms

An open-label sample of 15 veterans with PTSD + MDD receiving 6 ketamine infusions showed significant improvement in PTSD and depression symptoms (Figure 3). Pilot study design is depicted in Figure 4. Infusions occurred on days 1, 3, 5, 8, 10, and 12. Outcome measures (Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS) and PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5)) were collected 24-hours post-infusion, when the peak changes in clinical symptoms were hypothesized to occur. Cognitive testing occurred within 7 days before the infusion series began and was repeated within 7 days of infusion series completion. Participants were not washed out from psychiatric medications but, instead, required to be on stable doses for the 6 weeks preceding study entry and for the duration of study participation.



Figure 3. Changes in (A) PTSD symptoms as measured by the PCL-5 and (B) MDD symptoms as measured by the MADRS over the course of 6 ketamine infusions. Adapted from [83].



Figure 4. Schematic depicting pilot study design including timing of clinical and neurocognitive assessments in relation to the infusion series. Briefly, ketamine hydrochloride 0.5 mg/kg infusions were administered on a Monday, Wednesday, Friday schedule over two weeks. Clinical interviews (CAPS-5 and MADRS) and cognitive testing occured within 7 days prior to commencement of the infusion series and within 7 days following completion of the infusion series. Clinical measures of PTSD symptoms (using the PCL-5) and depression symptoms (MADRS) were also collected 24 h after each infusion.

The mean within-subject change in PTSD symptoms significantly decreased from baseline to 24-h post-6th-infusion (Mean change in PCL-5 = 33.3, p < 0.0005, Cohen's d = 2.17; see Figure 3A). Similarly, the mean within-subject change in depression symptoms significantly decreased over the infusion series (Mean change in MADRS = 26.6, p < 0.0005, Cohen's d = 4.64; see Figure 3B).

Baseline working memory deficits, worse set shifting, and increased rumination demonstrated significant correlation with improvement in PTSD and MDD symptoms after completion of the ketamine infusion series. Cognitive assessments occurred within 7 days of infusion series commencement and within 7 days of the final ketamine infusion. The mean time between baseline cognitive testing and commencement of infusion series was 5.1 days and the mean time between infusion series conclusion and post-treatment cognitive testing was 4.1 days. Individuals with greater deficits in a task for working memory and a task for set shifting were correlated with greater improvements in PTSD and MDD symptoms (MADRS change and working memory, r = -0.552, p < 0.05; PCL-5 change and working memory, r = -0.676, p < 0.01; MADRS change and set shifting, r = -0.506, p < 0.05; PCL-5 and set shifting, r = -0.63, p < 0.01). High levels of baseline rumination were also significantly correlated with greater improvements in PTSD and MDD symptoms (MADRS change and rumination, r = 0.535, p < 0.05; PCL-5 change and rumination, r = 0.57, p < 0.050.05) (see Figure 5).





DESIGN

Overview

This study is a double-blind randomized controlled trial (RCT) designed to identify neurocognitive and associated functional connectivity mechanisms underpinning PTSD + MDD outcomes to serial ketamine infusions. A total of 83 participants will be enrolled. PTSD + MDD participants (N = 42) will be randomized to receive 6 repeated infusions of ketamine or placebo over 3 weeks and be followed for 2 months. Healthy Controls (N = 21) will undergo identical evaluation and procedures except for infusion sequence and follow up. The same neurocognitive and functional connectivity mechanisms in a larger cohort of trauma exposed individuals will be examined with either MDD-only (N = 10) or PTSD-only (N = 10) participants. The experimental design is depicted in Figure 6.



Figure 6. Overview of the study design.

Participants, Screening and Baseline Assessment

Male or female veterans between the ages of 18 to 75 years will be recruited at the Minneapolis Veterans Affairs Medical Center (MVAMC) for this study. PTSD + MDD participants must meet Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-5) criteria for MDD, single or recurrent, without psychotic features and DSM-5 criteria for chronic PTSD. The healthy control cohort will be recruited from the NIH ResearchMatch registry, flyers, and brochures. The trauma-exposed MDDonly participants must meet DSM-5 criteria for MDD, single or recurrent, without psychotic features and have experienced a traumatic event of sufficient severity to meet criterion A for a diagnosis of PTSD. The PTSDonly participants must meet DSM-5 criteria for PTSD.

To ensure eligibility, the Clinician Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5) will be used to establish PTSD diagnosis. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (MINI) will be used to confirm diagnosis of MDD and exclusionary diagnoses (e.g., current or lifetime diagnosis of psychosis-related disorder, bipolar I or II disorder, substance-induced mood disorder, or any mood disorder due to general medical condition). Cognition will be documented with a Mini-mental Status Exam (MMSE) score \geq 27. Evaluation of exclusionary criteria involving unstable medical illnesses will be made based on medical record review by the principal investigator. Participants will be required to be on stable doses of psychiatric medications or to follow a consistent schedule of psychotherapy for 6 weeks preceding study entry and for the duration of participation. Other exclusionary criteria consists of (1) inability or unwillingness to provide written informed consent; (2) moderate/severe cognitive impairment; (3) history of moderate to severe traumatic brain injury, or other central nervous system (CNS) related disorder(s); (4) history of comorbid substance disorder within 1 month of screening; (5)

prior use of ketamine as an antidepressant; (6) for participants of childbearing potential: pregnancy (confirmed by baseline lab test), or inability or unwillingness to use a medically accepted contraceptive method for the duration of the study; (7) imminent risk of suicidal/homicidal ideation and/or behavior; and (8) inability to undergo magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) (i.e., claustrophobia, ferromagnetic implants, etc.). Non-prescription drug use and pregnancy will be determined using urine screens.

Once eligibility is confirmed, primary outcome measures will assess depression and PTSD symptoms with the MADRS and PTSD Symptom Scale-Interview for DSM-5 (PSS-I-5), respectively. Secondary measures will include the Ruminative Response Scale (RRS), Inventory of Depression and Anxiety Symptoms (IDAS), Numeric Response Scale (NRS), Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale (C-SSRS), clinical impression of illness severity and improvement (CGI), PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5), Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology Self Report (QIDS-SR16), Patient **Reported Outcomes Measurement Information System-Sleep Disturbance** Short Form (PROMIS), International Physical Activity Questionnaire-Short Form (IPAQ-Short), and General Anxiety Disorder-7 (GAD-7). Evaluation of cognitive function will occur via a battery of cognitive assessments using the NIH Examiner. Subtests include measures of multiple domains such as verbal memory, working memory, visual attention, task switching, reaction time, and risk taking; this battery was developed for repeat testing with extensive results concerning practice effects.

Neuroimaging will be completed using a 32-channel head coil on a 3T Siemens Prisma scanner at the Center for Magnetic Resonance Research (CMRR) at the University of Minnesota. All routine MRI safety screening procedures will be followed in their entirety to ensure the safety of participants. fMRI data acquisition will utilize multiband imaging, a technique that allows for dramatic increases in both spatial and temporal resolution of data acquisition. Multi-banded radiofrequency pulses can be used to accelerate volume coverage along the slice direction by simultaneously exciting and acquiring multiple slices and subsequently un-aliasing them using parallel imaging principles and the spatial information available in multi-channel radiofrequency (RF) array coils. This allows for a direct reduction in the volume repetition time (TR) by the number of simultaneously excited slices (i.e., the multiband (MB) factor or the slice acceleration factor). Encoding two or more images in each echoplanar imaging (EPI) echo train becomes highly efficient to reduce total scan time. The fMRI sequences will use MB factor of 8, allowing us to collect 32 slices in 1/8 TR that is 8 simultaneous slices in one TR. No special processing is required prior to analysis. These fMRI MB parameters will improve spatial resolution (from $3.5 \times 3.5 \times 4 \text{ mm}^3$, 34 slices to $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm³, 72 slices with TR 270 MB = 8) and temporal resolution (from TR = 2000 to TR = 720 ms). Routine acquisitions on the Prisma scanner will also include collection of diffusion weighted imaging data using MB factor of 3. Diffusion weighted imaging (DWI) with MB may also improve spatial

resolution with similar scanning time (Standard 30 dir, 6 B0, TR = 9000, 64 slices, $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm³ 6 min, New $1.5 \times 1.5 \times 1.5$ mm³, 90 slices, MB = 3, 128 directions 16 B0, b = 1500, 8 min, TR = 3200). Reconstructed images from the Prisma scanner will be ready for standard processing. Participants enrolled in PTSD-only, TE-MDD, and HC groups will only undergo baseline assessments, including a single fMRI. A repeat fMRI will be completed in PTSD + MDD participants following completion of the intervention infusion series. See Table 1 for the full assessment schedule.

Table 1. Assessment schedule.

Construct	Measure	Eligibility and Baseline	Treatment Phase	Follow-Up Phase				
		1–2 weeks before start of treatment	Day 1 to 13	Monthly × 2 months				
Primary Outcome Measures								
Depressive Symptoms	MADRS		×	×				
PTSD Symptoms	PSS-I-5		×	×				
Interview Based Assessments								
Decision-making capacity	Modified Dysken Screening Tool	×						
MDD Diagnosis	SCID-CT	×						
PTSD diagnosis	CAPS-5	×		×				
Rule-out moderate/severe cognitive impairment	MMSE	×						
Side Effects and Secondary Outcome Measures								
Side Effects (dissociative, psychotogenic, and manic symptoms)	CADDS, BPRS+, YMRS		×					
Internalizing symptoms	IDAS	×		×				
Pain Intensity	NRS	×	×	×				
Recovery from ketamine	mAldrete		×					
Suicide Risk	C-SSRS	×	×	×				
Cognitive function	CogState	×		×				
Rumination	RRS	×		×				
Neuroimaging*		×		×*				

* WITHIN 1 WEEK AFTER COMPLETION OF INFUSION SERIES.

Intervention Phase Assessment

During the treatment phase, PTSD + MDD veterans will arrive in the morning after an overnight fast. An indwelling catheter will be placed in their non-dominant arm for medication administration. The MVAMC Investigational Pharmacy will facilitate double-blinded randomization of the intervention for participants enrolled in the PTSD + MDD group. Measures of PTSD (PTSD Symptom Scale-Interview for DSM-5; PSS-I-5), depression (Montgomery-Åsberg Depression Rating Scale; MADRS) [85], pain (Numeric pain Rating Scale; MRS) suicidal ideation (Columbia Suicide Severity Rating Scale; CSSRS) and side effects will occur prior to the infusion and at 24-hours after each infusion. Participants will receive an IV infusion of either 0.5 mg/kg of ketamine hydrochloride solution or saline over 40 minutes. Potential side effects related to ketamine will be measured throughout the duration of the infusion using the positive symptom subscale of the Brief Psychiatric Rating Scale (BPRS+) [86], the Clinician-Administered Dissociative States Scale (CADSS) [87], and Young Mania Rating Scale (YMRS) [88]. To ensure safety, research staff will constantly monitor vital signs and the occurrence of side effects. Emergency medications and a crash cart will be available to manage unanticipated side effects. The infusion will be discontinued if adverse events do not respond to interventions. All participants will be monitored at least 2 hours post-infusion. Before leaving the infusion unit, participants will be required to demonstrate that all clinically significant side effects have resolved using the modified Aldrete scale (mAldrete) [89]. Postinfusion day measures will be obtained by telephone to reduce participant burden. These measures are administered according to the schedule described in Table 2. Following completion of the six infusion sequence, participants will attend post-treatment assessments for up to 2 months. Follow-up assessments are shown in Table 1.

	Monsuro	<i>t</i> -60 min	$t + 40 \min$	<i>t</i> + 100 min	<i>t</i> + 160 min	<i>t</i> + 24 h
	Measure			100 IIII		c ₀ · 2 · n
Primary Outcome Measure						
Depressive Symptoms	MADRS	×				×
PTSD Symptoms	PSS-I-5	×				×
Secondary Outcome Measur	es					
Pain intensity	NRS	×				×
Suicide risk	C-SSRS	×				×
Hemodynamic Measures						
BP, pulse, RR, SatO ₂		×	×	×	×	
Side Effects Measures						
Dissociative symptoms	CADSS	×	×	×	×	
Psychotomimetic symptoms	BPRS+	×	×	×	×	
Manic symptoms	YMRS	×	×	×	×	
Bacquary from kataming	mAldroto				~	

Fable 2 . Daily assessment schedule during infusion phase.

 t_0 = Infusion start.

Statistical Analysis

Power Analysis. The power analysis for Aims 1 and 2 was performed for PCL-5 and MADRS score using Nquery Advisor 4 (Statistical Solutions, 2000) under the following assumptions: (1) repeated measures ANOVA with the main effects of treatment (six infusions) and time (0 and Day 12), and the treatment by time interaction; (2) compound symmetric covariance matrix, and; (3) 5% significance level. In our pilot study, we observed a mean PCL-5 change of 33.3 (SD = 18.5) and a mean MADRS change of 26.6 (SD = 6.5) in a ketamine infusion group. Assuming the same effect size and variability in the ketamine group, we could detect a statistically significant effect when compared to an 8.8 point (or smaller) MADRS change or 11.7 point (or smaller) PCL-5 change in the placebo group. The estimates for the mean, standard deviation and intra-subject correlation obtained from a sample size of 21 patients per group will be required to detect a 10-point difference between the two groups in "change in MADRS score or change in PSS-I-5 from baseline to post-infusion" with 80% power to show a significant effect ($\alpha = 0.0125$ Bonferroni corrected for 4 pairwise comparisons).

Planned statistical analyses for each Specific Aim are described below:

Specific Aim 1: To examine how baseline clinical presentation, cognitive function and functional connectivity correlates with clinical response to ketamine infusions we will conduct Pearson correlations using PSSI total change scores, MADRS total scores, measures of different neurocognitive domains, and functional connectivity measures.

Cortico-limbic Functional Connectivity Analyses. A seed-based approach will be used to examine functional connectivity within fronto-limbic neural networks [90]. Spherical seeds will be created for the dlPFC, vmPFC, the amygdala, and the hippocampus. Mean time series of each seed will be calculated by averaging across all voxels within the seed. Nine nuisance covariates (time series for global signal intensity, white matter, cerebrospinal fluid, and six motion parameters) will be included in our regression analyses to minimize the contributions of artifactual physiological signals (e.g., cardiac and respiratory cycles). To measure functional connectivity for each ROI, correlations will be determined for all other voxels in the brain, yielding a network of brain areas with highly correlated fluctuations in spontaneous BOLD signal. Connectivity maps will be compared between groups (ketamine-responders and ketaminenonresponders). Group-level analyses will be carried out using a mixedeffects model as implemented in the FSL program FLAME. Age, gender, and concurrent psychiatric medication class will be included as statistical covariates in the analysis to ensure that any observed group effects are independent of age-related changes, gender, or concurrent psychiatric medication status. Corrections for multiple comparisons will be carried out at the cluster level for the networks correlated with each seed ROI using Gaussian random field theory (min Z > 2.3; cluster significance: p < 10.05, corrected).

Specific Aim 2: To examine the association of changes in corticolimbic functional connectivity with changes in clinical symptoms and cognition following either ketamine or saline infusions, we will use linear mixed models to test for group differences in change over time of psychiatric symptoms, neurocognitive assessments, and neuroimaging (functional connectivity data) from baseline to post-infusion series. We will also carry the healthy control data forward over time to model the comparison between change in each group and a constant control condition. The analysis for each outcome will consist of maximum likelihood growth curve models that include group, time, and a group × time interaction as fixed effects, and the intercept and slope as random effects with an unstructured covariance matrix. The focus of these analyses will be to compare biomarker assessments/behavioral outcome measures between individuals that received the ketamine infusion series to individuals who received placebo. Post-hoc analyses will also examine covariates such as age, gender, and concurrent psychiatric medication class to ensure that any observed changes are independent of these factors.

Specific Aim 3: To examine the relationship between clinical symptoms, cognition, rumination and neurocircuitry in PTSD + MDD, PTSD, TE-MDD, and HC at baseline, we will use multivariate analysis of covariance (MANCOVA) to test for group differences in transdiagnostic psychiatric symptoms (IDAS), neurocognitive assessments, and neuroimaging between participants and healthy controls. We will also run hypothesis-driven post-hoc tests for group differences on each measure.

CONCLUSION: IMPACT AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Upon successful completion of this research study, we expect to establish a model of PTSD + MDD based on neurocognitive, neuroimaging, and behavioral measures that will characterize the pathology at baseline in a heterogeneous group of trauma-exposed individuals and following treatment response to ketamine in a more narrow group of participants with PTSD + MDD. Knowledge gained from this project will (1) identify functional imaging signatures of the mechanisms underpinning pathological responses to trauma, (2) shift focus from mono-diagnostic silos to unified biological and behavioral disease processes and, thus, (3) inform interventions to correct dysregulation of PTSD + MDD symptom clusters thereby supporting more precise treatments and better outcomes. Furthermore, these findings would set the stage for: (1) a larger scale study that could test whether the identified mechanisms applied to a more diagnostically heterogeneous sample of individuals with posttraumatic pathological responses supported by the data collected for exploratory Aim 3; (2) use of the identified neurocircuits/neurocognitive deficits as targets for novel treatment interventions; (3) use of objective pathophysiological markers for enriching the traditionally descriptive definitions of PTSD and depression; and (4) development of relatively inexpensive behavioral and cognitive biomarkers that could be applied in clinical settings. Indeed, these findings can be expected to contribute to the overall enhancement of clinical care by improving diagnosis and prognosis as well as positively influencing the discovery of novel treatment targets, thereby significantly reducing the burden of comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder and major depressive disorder.

DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated from this study will be placed in a public data repository, the NIMH Data Archive, as required by NIMH policy.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

CSA, KC, PT, and KL designed the study. CSA submitted the grant proposal as principal investigator. CSA and SL drafted the manuscript, with input and approval from the other co-authors.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

FUNDING

This work was funded by the National Institute of Mental Health (K23MH117219), the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (KL2TR002492), and the National Institute of Drug Abuse (T32DA037183).

REFERENCES

- Kessler RC, Chiu WT, Demler O, Merikangas KR, Walters EE. Prevalence, severity, and comorbidity of 12-month DSM-IV disorders in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2005 Jun;62(6):617-27.
- 2. Dohrenwend BP, Turner JB, Turse NA, Adams BG, Koenen KC, Marshall R. The psychological risks of Vietnam for U.S. veterans: a revisit with new data and methods. Science. 2006 Aug 18;313(5789):979-82.
- Kehle SM, Reddy MK, Ferrier-Auerbach AG, Erbes CR, Arbisi PA, Polusny MA. Psychiatric diagnoses, comorbidity, and functioning in National Guard troops deployed to Iraq. J Psychiatr Res. 2011 Jan;45(1):126-32.
- Rytwinski NK, Scur MD, Feeny NC, Youngstrom EA. The co-occurrence of major depressive disorder among individuals with posttraumatic stress disorder: a meta-analysis. J Trauma Stress. 2013 Jun;26(3):299-309.
- Hankin CS, Spiro A III, Miller DR, Kazis L. Mental disorders and mental health treatment among U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs outpatients: the Veterans Health Study. Am J Psychiatry. 1999 Dec;156(12):1924-30.
- 6. Shore JH, Vollmer WM, Tatum EL. Community patterns of posttraumatic stress disorders. J Nerv Ment Dis. 1989 Nov;177(11):681-5.
- O'Donnell ML, Creamer M, Pattison P. Posttraumatic stress disorder and depression following trauma: understanding comorbidity. Am J Psychiatry. 2004 Aug;161(8):1390-6.
- 8. Shalev AY, Freedman S, Peri T, Brandes D, Sahar T, Orr SP, et al. Prospective

study of posttraumatic stress disorder and depression following trauma. Am J Psychiatry. 1998 May;155(5):630-7.

- Oquendo MA, Friend JM, Halberstam B, Brodsky BS, Burke AK, Grunebaum MF, et al. Association of comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder and major depression with greater risk for suicidal behavior. Am J Psychiatry. 2003 Mar;160(3):580-2.
- Bolton JM, Pagura J, Enns MW, Grant B, Sareen J. A population-based longitudinal study of risk factors for suicide attempts in major depressive disorder. J Psychiatr Res. 2010 Oct;44(13):817-26.
- Pukay-Martin ND, Pontoski KE, Maxwell MA, Calhoun PS, Dutton CE, Clancy CP, et al. The influence of depressive symptoms on suicidal ideation among U.S. Vietnam-era and Afghanistan/Iraq-era veterans with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Trauma Stress. 2012 Oct;25(5):578-82.
- 12. Breslau N, Davis GC, Andreski P, Peterson E. Traumatic events and posttraumatic stress disorder in an urban population of young adults. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1991 Mar;48(3):216-22.
- 13. Post LM, Zoellner LA, Youngstrom E, Feeny NC. Understanding the relationship between co-occurring PTSD and MDD: symptom severity and affect. J Anxiety Disord. 2011 Dec;25(8):1123-30.
- Bedard-Gilligan M, Duax Jakob JM, Doane LS, Jaeger J, Eftekhari A, Feeny N, et al. An Investigation of Depression, Trauma History, and Symptom Severity in Individuals Enrolled in a Treatment Trial for Chronic PTSD. J Clin Psychol. 2015 Jul;71(7):725-40.
- 15. Blanchard EB, Buckley TC, Hickling EJ, Taylor AE. Posttraumatic stress disorder and comorbid major depression: is the correlation an illusion? J Anxiety Disord. 1998 Jan;12(1):21-37.
- 16. Momartin S, Silove D, Manicavasagar V, Steel Z. Comorbidity of PTSD and depression: associations with trauma exposure, symptom severity and functional impairment in Bosnian refugees resettled in Australia. J Affect Disord. 2004 Jun;80(2-3):231-8.
- 17. Nixon RDV, Resick PA, Nishith P. An exploration of comorbid depression among female victims of intimate partner violence with posttraumatic stress disorder. J Affect Disord. 2004 Oct 15;82(2):315-20.
- Kramer TL, Booth BM, Han X, Williams DK. Service utilization and outcomes in medically ill veterans with posttraumatic stress and depressive disorders. J Trauma Stress. 2003 Jun;16(3):211-9.
- 19. Stapleton JA, Asmundson GJG, Woods M, Taylor S, Stein MB. Health care utilization by United Nations peacekeeping veterans with co-occurring, self-reported, post-traumatic stress disorder and depression symptoms versus those without. Mil Med. 2006 Jun;171(6):562-6.
- 20. Friedman ES, Davis LL, Zisook S, Wisniewski SR, Trivedi MH, Fava M, et al. Baseline depression severity as a predictor of single and combination antidepressant treatment outcome: results from the CO-MED trial. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2012 Mar;22(3):183-99.
- 21. Kaplan MJ, Klinetob NA. Childhood emotional trauma and chronic posttraumatic stress disorder in adult outpatients with treatment-resistant

depression. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2000 Sep;188(9):596-601.

- 22. Galatzer-Levy IR, Bryant RA. 636,120 Ways to Have Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. Perspect Psychol Sci. 2013 Nov;8(6):651-62.
- 23. Contractor AA, Roley-Roberts ME, Lagdon S, Armour C. Heterogeneity in patterns of DSM-5 posttraumatic stress disorder and depression symptoms: Latent profile analyses. J Affect Disord. 2017 Apr 1;212:17-24.
- 24. Hammack SE, Cooper MA, Lezak KR. Overlapping neurobiology of learned helplessness and conditioned defeat: implications for PTSD and mood disorders. Neuropharmacology. 2012 Feb;62(2):565-75.
- Miller MW, Wolf EJ, Reardon A, Greene A, Ofrat S, McInerney S. Personality and the latent structure of PTSD comorbidity. J Anxiety Disord. 2012 Jun;26(5):599-607.
- Elhai JD, Grubaugh AL, Kashdan TB, Frueh BC. Empirical examination of a proposed refinement to DSM-IV posttraumatic stress disorder symptom criteria using the National Comorbidity Survey Replication data. J Clin Psychiatry. 2008 Apr;69(4):597-602.
- 27. Grubaugh AL, Long ME, Elhai JD, Frueh BC, Magruder KM. An examination of the construct validity of posttraumatic stress disorder with veterans using a revised criterion set. Behav Res Ther. 2010 Sep;48(9):909-14.
- 28. Millan MJ, Agid Y, Brüne M, Bullmore ET, Carter CS, Clayton NS, et al. Cognitive dysfunction in psychiatric disorders: characteristics, causes and the quest for improved therapy. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2012 Feb 1;11(2):141-68.
- 29. Krystal JH, Abdallah CG, Averill LA, Kelmendi B, Harpaz-Rotem I, Sanacora G, et al. Synaptic Loss and the Pathophysiology of PTSD: Implications for Ketamine as a Prototype Novel Therapeutic. Curr Psychiatry Rep. 2017 Aug 26;19(10):74.
- Pitman RK, Rasmusson AM, Koenen KC, Shin LM, Orr SP, Gilbertson MW, et al. Biological studies of post-traumatic stress disorder. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2012 Nov;13(11):769-87.
- 31. Riaza Bermudo-Soriano C, Perez-Rodriguez MM, Vaquero-Lorenzo C, Baca-Garcia E. New perspectives in glutamate and anxiety. Pharmacol Biochem Behav. 2012 Feb;100(4):752-74.
- 32. Bergink V, van Megen and HJGM, Westenberg HGM. Glutamate and anxiety. Eur Neuropsychopharmacol. 2004 May 1;14(3):175-83.
- 33. Sanacora G, Treccani G, Popoli M. Towards a glutamate hypothesis of depression: an emerging frontier of neuropsychopharmacology for mood disorders. Neuropharmacology. 2012 Jan;62(1):63-77.
- 34. Musazzi L, Treccani G, Mallei A, Popoli M. The action of antidepressants on the glutamate system: regulation of glutamate release and glutamate receptors. Biol Psychiatry. 2013 Jun 15;73(12):1180-8.
- Krystal JH, Mathew SJ, D'Souza DC, Garakani A, Gunduz-Bruce H, Charney DS. Potential psychiatric applications of metabotropic glutamate receptor agonists and antagonists. CNS Drugs. 2010 Aug;24(8):669-93.
- 36. Simon AB, Gorman JM. Advances in the treatment of anxiety: targeting glutamate. NeuroRx. 2006 Jan;3(1):57-68.
- 37. Popoli M, Yan Z, McEwen BS, Sanacora G. The stressed synapse: the impact of

stress and glucocorticoids on glutamate transmission. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2012 Jan;13(1):22-37.

- Duman RS, Aghajanian GK, Sanacora G, Krystal JH. Synaptic plasticity and depression: new insights from stress and rapid-acting antidepressants. Nat Med. 2016 Mar;22(3):238-49.
- Liu R-J, Aghajanian GK. Stress blunts serotonin- and hypocretin-evoked EPSCs in prefrontal cortex: role of corticosterone-mediated apical dendritic atrophy. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2008 Jan 8;105(1):359-64.
- Park M, Kim C-H, Jo S, Kim EJ, Rhim H, Lee CJ, et al. Chronic Stress Alters Spatial Representation and Bursting Patterns of Place Cells in Behaving Mice. Sci Rep. 2015 Nov 9;5:16235.
- 41. Miller BR, Hen R. The current state of the neurogenic theory of depression and anxiety. Curr Opin Neurobiol. 2015 Feb;30:51-8.
- Reul JMHM, Nutt DJ. Glutamate and cortisol—a critical confluence in PTSD? J Psychopharmacol. 2008 Jul;22(5):469-72.
- Abdallah CG, Wrocklage KM, Averill CL, Akiki T, Schweinsburg B, Roy A, et al. Anterior hippocampal dysconnectivity in posttraumatic stress disorder: a dimensional and multimodal approach. Transl Psychiatry. 2017 Feb 28;7(2):e1045.
- 44. Averill CL, Satodiya RM, Scott JC, Wrocklage KM, Schweinsburg B, Averill LA, et al. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Depression Symptom Severities Are Differentially Associated With Hippocampal Subfield Volume Loss in Combat Veterans. Chronic Stress (Thousand Oaks). Jan-Dec 2017;1:2470547017744538.
- 45. Suvak MK, Barrett LF. Considering PTSD from the perspective of brain processes: a psychological construction approach. J Trauma Stress. 2011 Feb;24(1):3-24.
- 46. Thayer JF, Ahs F, Fredrikson M, Sollers JJ III, Wager TD. A meta-analysis of heart rate variability and neuroimaging studies: implications for heart rate variability as a marker of stress and health. Neurosci Biobehav Rev. 2012 Feb;36(2):747-56.
- 47. Svoboda E, McKinnon MC, Levine B. The functional neuroanatomy of autobiographical memory: a meta-analysis. Neuropsychologia. 2006 Jun 27;44(12):2189-208.
- Ochsner KN, Gross JJ. The cognitive control of emotion. Trends Cogn Sci. 2005 May;9(5):242-9.
- Meyer T, Smeets T, Giesbrecht T, Quaedflieg CWEM, Smulders FTY, Meijer EH, et al. The role of frontal EEG asymmetry in post-traumatic stress disorder. Biol Psychol. 2015 May;108:62-77.
- 50. Weber DL, Clark CR, McFarlane AC, Moores KA, Morris P, Egan GF. Abnormal frontal and parietal activity during working memory updating in post-traumatic stress disorder. Psychiatry Res. 2005 Oct 30;140(1):27-44.
- 51. Morey RA, Dolcos F, Petty CM, Cooper DA, Hayes JP, LaBar KS, et al. The role of trauma-related distractors on neural systems for working memory and emotion processing in posttraumatic stress disorder. J Psychiatr Res. 2009 May;43(8):809-17.
- 52. Chen J, Zhou C, Wu B, Wang Y, Li Q, Wei Y, et al. Left versus right repetitive

transcranial magnetic stimulation in treating major depression: a metaanalysis of randomised controlled trials. Psychiatry Res. 2013 Dec 30;210(3):1260-4.

- Osuch EA, Benson BE, Luckenbaugh DA, Geraci M, Post RM, McCann U. Repetitive TMS combined with exposure therapy for PTSD: a preliminary study. J Anxiety Disord. 2009 Jan;23(1):54-9.
- 54. Sachinvala N, von Scotti H, McGuire M, Fairbanks L, Bakst K, McGuire M, et al. Memory, attention, function, and mood among patients with chronic posttraumatic stress disorder. J Nerv Ment Dis. 2000 Dec;188(12):818-23.
- 55. Johnsen GE, Kanagaratnam P, Asbjørnsen AE. Memory impairments in posttraumatic stress disorder are related to depression. J Anxiety Disord. 2008;22(3):464-74.
- 56. Nijdam MJ, Gersons BPR, Olff M. The role of major depression in neurocognitive functioning in patients with posttraumatic stress disorder. Eur J Psychotraumatol. 2013 May 2;4. doi: 10.3402/ejpt.v4i0.19979
- 57. Aupperle RL, Allard CB, Grimes EM, Simmons AN, Flagan T, Behrooznia M, et al. Dorsolateral prefrontal cortex activation during emotional anticipation and neuropsychological performance in posttraumatic stress disorder. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2012 Apr;69(4):360-71.
- 58. Nolen-Hoeksema S. Responses to depression and their effects on the duration of depressive episodes. J Abnorm Psychol. 1991 Nov;100(4):569-82.
- 59. Papageorgiou C, Wells A. An Empirical Test of a Clinical Metacognitive Model of Rumination and Depression. Cognit Ther Res. 2003 Jun 1;27(3):261-73.
- 60. Teasdale JD. Emotional processing, three modes of mind and the prevention of relapse in depression. Behav Res Ther. 1999 Jul;37(Suppl 1):S53-77.
- 61. Spinhoven P, Penninx BW, Krempeniou A, van Hemert AM, Elzinga B. Trait rumination predicts onset of Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder through traumarelated cognitive appraisals: A 4-year longitudinal study. Behav Res Ther. 2015 Aug;71:101-9.
- 62. Roley ME, Claycomb MA, Contractor AA, Dranger P, Armour C, Elhai JD. The relationship between rumination, PTSD, and depression symptoms. J Affect Disord. 2015 Jul 15;180:116-21.
- 63. Birrer E, Michael T. Rumination in PTSD as well as in traumatized and nontraumatized depressed patients: a cross-sectional clinical study. Behav Cogn Psychother. 2011 Jul;39(4):381-97.
- 64. Deppermann S, Storchak H, Fallgatter AJ, Ehlis A-C. Stress-induced neuroplasticity: (mal)adaptation to adverse life events in patients with PTSD—a critical overview. Neuroscience. 2014 Dec 26;283:166-77.
- 65. Mandell D, Siegle GJ, Shutt L, Feldmiller J, Thase ME. Neural substrates of trait ruminations in depression. J Abnorm Psychol. 2014 Feb;123(1):35-48.
- VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline Working Group. Management of Posttraumatic Stress. Washington (DC, US): VA Office of Quality and Performance; 2010.
- 67. American Psychiatric Association. American Psychiatric Association Practice Guidelines for the Treatment of Psychiatric Disorders: Compendium 2006. American Psychiatric Association; 2006. Washington (DC, US): American

Psychiatric Association; 2006.

- Rush AJ, Trivedi MH, Wisniewski SR, Nierenberg AA, Stewart JW, Warden D, et al. Acute and longer-term outcomes in depressed outpatients requiring one or several treatment steps: a STAR*D report. Am J Psychiatry. 2006 Nov;163(11):1905-17.
- 69. Ravindran LN, Stein MB. Pharmacotherapy of PTSD: premises, principles, and priorities. Brain Res. 2009 Oct 13;1293:24-39.
- Berman RM, Cappiello A, Anand A, Oren DA, Heninger GR, Charney DS, et al. Antidepressant effects of ketamine in depressed patients. Biol Psychiatry. 2000 Feb 15;47(4):351-4.
- 71. Zarate CA Jr, Singh JB, Carlson PJ, Brutsche NE, Ameli R, Luckenbaugh DA, et al. A randomized trial of an *N*-methyl-D-aspartate antagonist in treatment-resistant major depression. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 2006 Aug;63(8):856-64.
- 72. Murrough JW, Iosifescu DV, Chang LC, Al Jurdi RK, Green CE, Perez AM, et al. Antidepressant efficacy of ketamine in treatment-resistant major depression: a two-site randomized controlled trial. Am J Psychiatry. 2013 Oct;170(10):1134-42.
- 73. Feder A, Parides MK, Murrough JW, Perez AM, Morgan JE, Saxena S, et al. Efficacy of intravenous ketamine for treatment of chronic posttraumatic stress disorder: a randomized clinical trial. JAMA Psychiatry. 2014 Jun;71(6):681-8.
- 74. Krystal JH, Karper LP, Seibyl JP, Freeman GK, Delaney R, Bremner JD, et al. Subanesthetic effects of the noncompetitive NMDA antagonist, ketamine, in humans. Psychotomimetic, perceptual, cognitive, and neuroendocrine responses. Arch Gen Psychiatry. 1994 Mar;51(3):199-214.
- 75. Li N, Lee B, Liu R-J, Banasr M, Dwyer JM, Iwata M, et al. mTOR-dependent synapse formation underlies the rapid antidepressant effects of NMDA antagonists. Science. 2010 Aug 20;329(5994):959-64.
- 76. Ibrahim L, DiazGranados N, Franco-Chaves J, Brutsche N, Henter ID, Kronstein P, et al. Course of Improvement in Depressive Symptoms to a Single Intravenous Infusion of Ketamine vs Add-on Riluzole: Results from a 4-Week, Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study. Neuropsychopharmacology. 2012 Feb 1;37(6):1526-33.
- 77. Duman RS, Aghajanian GK. Synaptic dysfunction in depression: potential therapeutic targets. Science. 2012 Oct 5;338(6103):68-72.
- 78. Miller OH, Yang L, Wang C-C, Hargroder EA, Zhang Y, Delpire E, et al. GluN2Bcontaining NMDA receptors regulate depression-like behavior and are critical for the rapid antidepressant actions of ketamine. Elife. 2014 Oct 23;3:e03581.
- 79. Zhou W, Wang N, Yang C, Li X-M, Zhou Z-Q, Yang J-J. Ketamine-induced antidepressant effects are associated with AMPA receptors-mediated upregulation of mTOR and BDNF in rat hippocampus and prefrontal cortex. Eur Psychiatry. 2014 Sep;29(7):419-23.
- Newport DJ, Carpenter LL, McDonald WM, Potash JB, Tohen M, Nemeroff CB, et al. Ketamine and Other NMDA Antagonists: Early Clinical Trials and Possible Mechanisms in Depression. Am J Psychiatry. 2015 Oct 1;172(10):950-66.

- Murrough JW, Perez AM, Pillemer S, Stern J, Parides MK, aan het Rot M, et al. Rapid and longer-term antidepressant effects of repeated ketamine infusions in treatment-resistant major depression. Biol Psychiatry. 2013 Aug 15;74(4):250-6.
- Shiroma PR, Johns B, Kuskowski M, Wels J, Thuras P, Albott CS, et al. Augmentation of response and remission to serial intravenous subanesthetic ketamine in treatment resistant depression. J Affect Disord. 2014 Feb;155:123-9.
- 83. Albott CS, Lim KO, Forbes MK, Erbes C, Tye SJ, Thuras P, Wels J, Carr T, Shiroma PR. Efficacy, safety, and durability of repeated ketamine infusions for the treatment of comorbid posttraumatic stress disorder and treatment-resistant depression. J Clin Psychiatry. 2018;79(3):17m11634.
- 84. Albott CS, Lim KO, Forbes MK, Erbes C, Tye SJ, Thuras P, Wels J, Shiroma PR. Neurocognitive Effects of Repeated Ketamine Infusions in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Treatment-Resistant Depression. In: Proceedings of 72nd Annual Scientific Convention and Meeting of the Society of Biological Psychiatry. 2017 May 18-20; San Diego, USA. Brentwood (US): Society of Biological Psychiatry; 2017. p. S405.
- 85. Montgomery SA, Asberg M. A new depression scale designed to be sensitive to change. Br J Psychiatry. 1979 Apr;134:382-9.
- Overall JE, Rhoades HM. Refinement of phenomenological classification in clinical psychopharmacology research. Psychopharmacology. 1982;77(1):24-30.
- 87. Bremner JD, Krystal JH, Putnam FW, Southwick SM, Marmar C, Charney DS, et al. Measurement of dissociative states with the Clinician-Administered Dissociative States Scale (CADSS). J Trauma Stress. 1998 Jan;11(1):125-36.
- 88. Young RC, Biggs JT, Ziegler VE, Meyer DA. A rating scale for mania: reliability, validity and sensitivity. Br J Psychiatry. 1978 Nov;133:429-35.
- Aldrete JA. The post-anesthesia recovery score revisited. J Clin Anesth. 1995 Feb;7(1):89-91.
- 90. Castellanos FX, Margulies DS, Kelly C, Uddin LQ, Ghaffari M, Kirsch A, et al. Cingulate-precuneus interactions: a new locus of dysfunction in adult attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder. Biol Psychiatry. 2008 Feb 1;63(3):332-7.

How to cite this article:

Albott CS, Lee S, Cullen KR, Thuras P, Lissek S, Wels J, Friedrich KJ, et al. Characterization of Comorbid Posttraumatic Stress Disorder and Major Depressive Disorder Using Ketamine as an Experimental Medicine Probe. J Psychiatry Brain Sci. 2021;6:e210012. https://doi.org/10.20900/jpbs.20210012